Filer's Name, Address, Phone, Fax, Email:

DONALD L. SPAFFORD, JR. 6188

Pauahi Tower

1003 Bishop St., Ste. 470

Honolulu, HI 96813

Phone/Fax: (808) 532-6300/532-6309

e-mail: spafford@lava.net



			hib_3007-1 (12/09)				
Debtor:	or: DWAYNE K. MENDOZA		Case No.:	Case No.: 13-01333			
Joint Debtor (if any)	int Debtor: NILA T. MENDOZA (if any)		Chapter:	Chapter: 13			
[Note:	OBJECTION TO CLAIM; NOTICE OF HEARING Courtroom – 1132 Bishop Street, Honolulu, Ha Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007(b) provides that an objection to	waii	Hearing L Time:	Hearing Date: March 13, 2014 Time: 9:30 a.m.			
include a demand for relief of the kind specified in Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7001. Such relief must be sought by filing a complaint to commence an adversary proceeding.]			Response	Response due: March 6, 2014			
The party	below hereby objects under Fed. R. Bankr. P. 300	7 to the clain	n below as fol	lows.			
Objecting Party	Debtors Dwayne K. Mendoza and Nila T. Me	endoza					
Claim No.	Claimant Name and Address			ount (as filed by claimant)			
3	Carolyn Mendoza c/o Dan S. Ikehara, Esq. 98-1247 Kaahumanu St., Ste. 309 Aiea, HI 96701	Secured	General Unsecured	Priority Unsecured	Unclassified		
				110,101.84			
The objecting party asserts the claim should be treated as explained below and in the attached memorandum.  Disallowed in entirety  Reclassified  Amount modified  Other							
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a hearing on this objection has been scheduled for the date and time above.  Your rights may be affected. You should read the objection and any accompanying papers carefully and discuss them with your attorney if you have one in this bankruptcy case or proceeding. (If you do not have an attorney, you may wish to consult one.)							
If you do not want the court to sustain the objection, or if you want the court to consider your views, then you or your attorney must file a statement explaining your position not later than 7 days before the hearing date. Responses must be filed with the court at: United States Bankruptcy Court, District of Hawaii, Suite 250, Honolulu, HI 96813, and sent to the objecting party at the address in the upper left corner of this document.							
If you mail your response to the court for filing, you must mail it early enough so the court will <b>receive</b> it on or before the deadline stated above.							
If you or your attorney do not take these steps, the court may decide that you do not oppose the objection and may cancel the hearing. If the hearing is canceled, the court may reduce, modify, or eliminate your claim if the objecting party promptly files a declaration and request for entry of an order [local form hib_9021-1]. If the objecting party wishes to proceed with a hearing in the absence of a response, the objecting party should file a request for the matter to remain on calendar [local form hib_9013-1c3].							

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF OBJECTION								
For the reasons stated below, the claim should be:								
☑ Disallowed in its entirety.								
	Amount							
Reclassified/modified in	Secured General Unsecured		Priority Unsecured					
the following amount(s):								
[Explain the grounds for the objection. Attack	n additional pages, declar	ations. or exhibits as nece	ssarv.1					
Claimant Carolyn Mendoza seeks to enforce a divorce decree that was entered by the Family Court of the First Circuit on October 28, 1993 (see Exhibit A to Carolyn Mendoza's proof of claim). HRS Section 657-5 states in pertinent part as follows:								
Unless an extension is granted, every judgment and decree of any court of the State (that is, the State of Hawaii) shall be presumed to be paid and discharged at the expiration of ten years after the judgment or decree was rendered. No action shall be commenced after the expiration of ten years from the date a judgment or decree was rendered or extended. No extension of a judgment or decree shall be granted unless the extension is sought within ten years of the date the original judgment or decree was rendered;								
More than ten years have passed since the divorce decree was entered. Claimant Carolyn Mendoza never sought an extension of the divorce decree, and the time period for filing a motion for such extension has expired.								
:								
2 }								
· ·								
!								
ţ	a nd	111 112						
Dated: 1/28/2014 /s Signature Donald L. Spafford, Jr.  Signature Print name if original signature								

Ì